

September 9, 2024**Addendum No. 02****File Reference Number: RFP 2024 020****Title: Rail Infrastructure Engineering and Consulting Services****RE: Clarifications/Questions**

Please refer to the following information/clarification:

Item 1: Would ONTC agree to extend the closing date by two (2) weeks to Friday, October 4, 2024?

Answer: ONTC will agree to a one (1) week extension of the closing date for this RFP. The new submission deadline / closing date is now Friday, September 27, 2024, at 2:00:00 p.m. EDT.

Item 2: Please confirm if the Successful Respondent (the “Engineering Consultant”) will be excluded or in any way restricted from pursuing and submitting bids for future opportunities with ONTC for design, upgrade, repair, supply or installation that may or may not result from the work that is performed under the scope that is contemplated under this RFP 2024 020?

For example, if a structural upgrade to a bridge were required because of an assessment performed by the Engineering Consultant, would the Engineering Consultant be restricted from bidding the design, supply of materials and execution of the structural upgrade that would be handled through a separate procurement by ONTC?

Answer: ONTC will not exclude or restrict the consultant from future or separate procurements related to engineering services not included within the scope of RFP 2024 020.

Item 3: In the case where a sub-consultant (sub to the Engineering Consultant) provides services related to certain portions of scope (certain disciplines such as track, bridges & culverts, etc.), will that sub-consultant be excluded or in any way restricted from pursuing and submitting bids for future opportunities with ONTC for design, upgrade, repair, supply or installation scope of a different discipline from the portion that the sub-consultant is providing under this RFP?

Answer: ONTC will not exclude or restrict any sub-consultant from future or separate procurements related to engineering services or other disciplines not included within the scope of RFP 2024 020.

Item 4: In relation to the extent of scope of Project B, do the contemplated ad hoc engineering services extend to design services that could result from inspections and assessments that are performed in Project A? For example, if detailed crossing assessments (Project A) determine that upgrades to a number of level crossings are required, would the detailed design scope for the crossing upgrades be covered under Project B, by the Engineering Consultant, or would the design scope form part of a separate project, under separate procurement?

Answer: The scope of work outlined in Project B may include design services as a result of inspections and assessments performed in Project A. ONTC will have sole discretion whether design services are included as part of Project B or as part of a separate project, under a separate procurement.

Item 5: In Section 1, Schedule 3-A, ONTC notes that other engineering consultants may be engaged to perform all or part of the Services (for which we interpret includes any scope within "Project A" or "Project B"):

- (a) Does ONTC plan to issue separate procurements on a case-by-case basis for these scopes of work?

Answer: ONTC will have sole discretion as to whether a separate procurement will be commenced or if the MSA will be utilized.

- (b) Is there an opportunity for firms to submit qualifications to ONTC and be considered for future work (i.e. RFQ, VOR)?

Answer: ONTC does not currently prequalify Vendors.

Item 6: Project references: Does ONR expect only inspections projects/references or design project as well?

Answer: ONTC expects Respondents to provide references for both inspections and design projects.

Item 7: Can a Respondent be composed of a joint venture, or only a main Respondent + subcontractors' structure is accepted?

Answer: ONTC requires a Main/Lead Respondent to submit the Proposal and all other required service providers would be included as subcontractors. These subcontractors would form part of the Respondent's team. Please note that a person or entity shall submit or participate in only one Proposal either individually or as a Respondent team member. A person or entity shall not be a subcontractor of a Respondent and also submit a Proposal individually or as a Respondent team member in the same RFP Process.

Item 8: Schedule 3-B 2.3 Culvert inspections: RFP Documents mention "ONTC's Project Manager, with the Designated Bridge Engineer, will schedule the timing of these inspections/services and coordinate the fieldwork with ONTC's Project Manager". Can you provide the actual schedule to evaluate average quantity of culverts to inspect per year in visual/detailed?

Answer: ONTC is unable to provide actual schedule for culvert inspections. Respondents can expect approximately 600-700 culvert inspections annually.

Item 9: Chapter 3-Bridge Inspection: RFP Documents mention "Appendix G-Bridge inspection Schedule - lists of upcoming inspections" but the appendix is missing from RFP Documents. Could you provide the actual schedule to evaluate the average quantity of bridges to inspect per year in visual/detailed?

Answer: ONTC bridge inspection schedule attached.

Item 10: Could you provide a sample of expected inspection sheet and inspection report?

Answer: Respondents shall provide a copy of their own sample inspection sheets and report.

Item 11: Bridge rating: Can we assume that rating of your bridges is up to date and updates to these studies will be required only based on inspection "critical" findings and special/oversized equipment requests?

Answer: ONTC bridge ratings will be up do date upon commencement of the MSA.

Item 12: Does ONR have permanent camps on their railroad to provide lodging in hard-to-access areas?

Answer: Respondents will not have access to ONTC bunkhouses. Respondents are expected to stay in hotels in nearby communities and will have escort by ONTC flag persons to these locations when required.

Item 13: There are not enough engineer roles to adequately provide ONTC with staff at reasonable cost rates in Form 7 - Pricing. We request that ONTC kindly allows us to expand the rate table by adding more rows.

Answer: ONTC requires Respondents to provide a rate for each role listed or describe equivalent. Respondent may include additional roles/rates but these may not be considered for evaluation purposes.

Item 14: Proposal Form 8 - Qualitative Proposal, Section 2.6 - Document Templates for Reports - Can we provide the templates/reports in the Appendix?

Answer: Yes, templates may be included in the Appendix.

Item 15: Proposal Form 8 - Qualitative Proposal, Section 3.1 - Curriculum Vitae of Key Resources
- Can we provide the CVs of the key listed resources in the Appendix?

Answer: Yes, CVs may be included in the Appendix.

Item 16: Proposal Form 8 - Qualitative Proposal, Section 3.2 - Organizational Structure and Team - Can the proponent use a different format to represent the data in the table? We will ensure all fields are covered.

Answer: Yes, the Respondent may use a different format to represent the data in the table.

This Addendum hereby forms part of the RFP.

Regards,

Ashley Commanda
Manager, Public Procurement
ashley.commanda@ontarionorthland.ca

Appendix G – Bridge Inspection Schedule

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - TEMAGAMI SUBDIVISION																											
MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037		
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					
8.14	1977	4-Mile Cr.	1-40' DPG	8									D														
11.70	1987	North River	1-29' DPG	8									D														
17.44	2015	S Mulock Cr.	CSP	10				D										D									
17.65	2017	Mulock Cr.	CSP	10				D										D									
17.95	2017	N Mulock Cr.	CSP	10				D										D									
31.19	1904	Jocko Cr.	1-30' DPG	6				D						D						D							
35.46	1920	Black Duck Cr.	1-18' RCS	6		D													D								
38.32		Black Duck Cr.	1-18' RCS	6		D													D								
49.10	1915	Martin Cr.	1-40' TPG	10		D														D							
58.96	1914	S Rabbit Cr.	2-21'RCS	6		D																					
59.40	1905	Rabbit Cr.	1-33' DPG	6				D																			
59.64	1914	N Rabbit Cr.	2-21' RCS	6		D																					
62.45	1914	Hornet Cr.	1-20' RCS	10				D																			
70.39	2015	Pingue Lake	CSP	10		D																					
75.70	1920	Net Lake	5-75' RCS	10				D																			
93.91	1904	Montreal R.	3-129' TT	6		D																					
103.25		Ferland St. O/Pass	DT			CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
103.60		Lang St. O/Pass	RCS			CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
107.49		Hwy #558 O/Pass	RCS			CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
115.50	1908	S Wabi R.	270' DPG	6																							
119.10	1908	N Wabi R.	486' DPG	6																							
128.60		Hwy #11 O/Pass				CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
138.00	1905	S Blanche	807' DPG	6																							

D = DETAILED INSPECTION CV = CURSORY VISUAL U = TBD UNDERWATER INSPECTION OTHERWISE = VISUAL

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - RAMORE SUBDIVISION

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
7.45	1905	N Blanche	592' DPG	6						D						D									
15.10	1914	Boston Cr.	330' DPG	6				D						D						D					
23.56	2007	Overpass	76' I BEAM			CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
25.8 M	2017	Amikougami	1-30' DPG	10		D																			
25.8 P	1923	Amikougami	1-40' DPG	6		D																			
26.00	1937	Blanche R.	1-80' TPG	6		D																			
29.91		N Blanche R.	5-75' RCS	6																					
30.67	1924	S Perron Cr.	1-15' RCS	6																					
31.16		M Perron Cr.	1-18' RCS	6																					
31.99		N Perron Cr.	1-18' RCS	6																					
35.90		Sesekinika L.	1-17'RCS	6																					
36.26	1918	Kapakita Cr.	3-34' RCS	6																					
40.34	1918	Whiteclay R.	5-75' RCS	6																					
41.28		Twin Lake	1-18' RCS	6																					
42.90	1918	White Clay	1-55' DPG	6		D																			
45.70	1909	White Clay	1-55' DPG	6		D																			
50.42		Mallock Cr.	1-12' RCS	6																					
58.30	1913	Wild Goose	480' DPG	6				D																	
69.60	1907	Watabeag	425' DPG	6							D/U														
79.00	1909	Driftwood	490' DPG	6							D														
96.00	1927	Frederickhouse	411' DPG	6																					
99.03		?	1-15' RCS	6																					
103.30	1912	Porkupine	92' DP/CS	6		D																			
112.90		?	3-17' RCS	Not in	Service	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
118.70	1977	Algonquin	166' RCS	Not in	Service	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - KIRKLAND LAKE SUBDIVISION

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
0.10	1923	Amikougami	1-60' DPG	6				D						D						D					
2.70	2018	KLA O/Pass	CSP					CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
4.50	1965	Kirkland	6-90' WS	10																					
19.50	1923	Mesima R.	200' DP/CS	6			D																		
45.72		Mud Lake	3-45' RCS	10				D																	
58.95		Underpass	1-CRCS	10				D																	
59.52		Underpass	1-CRCS	10				D																	

D = DETAILED INSPECTION CV = CURSORY VISUAL U = TBD UNDERWATER INSPECTION OTHERWISE = VISUAL

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - ISLAND FALLS SUBDIVISION

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
11.40	1922	Abitibi	567' DPG	10								D/U										D			
20.50	1923	Sucker Cr.	2-85' DPG/CS	10								D/U										D			
27.30	1923	Jawbone E	325' DPG	10								D										D			
29.50	1923	Jawbone W	236' DPG	10										D									D		
36.00	1923	Trappers Cr.	345' DPG	10										D								D			
44.40	1924	Abitibi	672' DP/ DT	10																				D	
51.90	1924	Red Sucker	281' DPG	10																					D
131.60	1930	Onakawana	241' DPG	10											D									D	
137.80	1952	Medicine Cr.	1-55' TPG	10		D										D									
142.50	1931	Moose R.	1836' DPG	10																					D
157.60	1932	Otakwahegan	206' DPG	10																					D
162.00	1932	Cheepas Cr.	3-90' DPG	10																					D
164.35	1990	S Chemahegan	3-28' DPG	10																					D
165.25	1998	Chemahegan	3-40' DPG	10										D/U										D	
166.00	1948	N Chemahegan	1-40' DPG	10		D										D/U									
174.00	1932	Kwatabohegan	4-110' TPG	10				D																	
176.50	1932	Hancock Cr.	1-85' TPG	10		D																			
180.25	1932	Maidman's Cr.	1-65' TPG	10		D																			
186.04	1985	Store Cr.	5-48' DPG	10											D/U									D	
187.50	1989	Butler Cr.	2-60' DPG	10		D										D/U									

D = DETAILED INSPECTION CV = CURSORY VISUAL U = TBD UNDERWATER INSPECTION OTHERWISE = VISUAL

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - KAPUSKASING SUBDIVISION

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
6.10	1911	Frederickh.	621' DPG	10							D/U										D				
10.20	1908	Buskego Cr.	217' DPG	10				D										D/U							
20.30	1908	Driftwood R.	208' DPG	10				D										D/U							
31.40	1909	Mattagama	2-260' TT	10				D										D/U							
38.30	1909	Poplar Rapids	170' DPG	10				D										D/U							
46.00	1908	Wellington Cr.	1-71' DPG	10				D										D							
49.95		3rd Ave. O/Pass	RCS			CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV
50.20	1908	Ground Hog	2-250' TT	10								D/U													
52.40	1909	Brule Cr.	1-56' TPG	10				D										D							
54.70	1910	Marten Cr.	1-35' DPG	10				D										D							
65.30	1910	Bass R.	1-55' TPG	10				D										D							
69.60	1910	Kapuskasing	2-100' DPG	10							D										D				
69.70	1911	Kapuskasing	310' DPG	10							D/U										D				
78.20	1910	Lost River	1-100' DPG	10			D										D								
84.50	1910	Solomon Cr.	1-32' DPG	10														D							D
90.10	1910	Opasatika R.	1-200' TT	8			D																		D
95.00	1910	Montcalm Cr.	1-44' TPG	8			D																		D
100.07	1996	Crow Creek	1-35' RCS	8														D							D/U
110.30	1910	Missinabi R.	860' DPG	8		D								D											
125.80	1910	Kendal Cr.	1-50' DPG	8		D								D											
126.20	1911	Nelles Cr.	1-40' DPG	8		D								D											
127.50	1910	Mattawishquia	375' DPG	8				D								D/U									D

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - PAGWA SPUR

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
14.50	1910	Aalentine R.	185' DPG	10						D/U										D					
17.90	1912	St. Joshep	1-45' TPG	10				D										D/U							
20.70	1910	Kebinagami	641' DPG	10						D/U										D					

BRIDGE INSPECTION SCHEDULE - AGRIMUM SUBDIVISION

MILE	BUILT	NAME	LENGTH / TYPE	INSP. INT.	FILE	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						-COMPLETE-	- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-					- PROPOSED-			
16.07	1999	Lost River	157' DPG	Not in	Service																				

D = DETAILED INSPECTION CV = CURSORY VISUAL U = TBD UNDERWATER INSPECTION OTHERWISE = VISUAL